

You must [Sign in](#) before you can post messages.

To post a message you must first [join this group](#).

Please update your nickname on the [subscription settings](#) page before posting.

You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "None of your business, Glenn!" by Glenn Hagele - Council for Refractive Surgery Quality Assurance

Glenn Hagele -  
Council for  
Refractive  
Surgery Quality  
Assurance

[View  
profile](#)

Apr 5 2003,  
6:45 pm

>You spin. That's what you do. You take truth and you spin it.

Blah, blah. Instead of useless accusations, try taking a statement I have made and show that it is false. You have attempted and failed in the past, but we have an entire website that you can look at and pick apart, if you think you can. If you find something inaccurate, I'll gladly correct my mistake.

Poor Ron. You can't handle the message, so you attack the messenger. It is what you've done for years, rather than just dealing with the message. You've screwed up left and right, Ron. I've pointed it out. You don't like dealing with the consequences of your actions, so you attack the person who pointed out that you have screwed up left and right.

>You have to paint SE as anti-refractive surgery in order to try to  
>harm it.

Ron, you are the one who has controlled SurgicalEyes and made it anti-refractive surgery. Nobody else. You are the one who just a few hours ago said you were proud of your bulletin board, where tons of anti-refractive surgery rhetoric is published. I have not made SurgicalEyes anti-refractive surgery/surgeon/industry, you did it Ron. You and your minions. You and (some of) the SurgicalEyes faithful. Don't blame me for the results of your acts.

I don't care one way or the other if the truth that I have revealed here and elsewhere hurts SurgicalEyes or not. It makes absolutely no difference to me, now that there are more alternatives for patients to receive similar information and services.

>That's your modus operandi. That's your whole game.

My whole game? How childish.

>You have targeted us. You have gone out of your way to harm SE. You  
>want people to remain silent and not be upset?

There you go again, attempting to make it appear I have said something I have not. I have never said people who have been harmed by refractive surgery should remain silent or not be upset. Ron, we have a Report A Bad Doctor section on our website where people are ENCOURAGED to voice their problem.

What I have said is that when you demonize an industry, don't be surprised or indignant when they don't want to have anything to do with you.

>You want them not to  
>talk to the media?

Again, you attempt to make it appear that I have said something I have not. I have never said people with refractive surgery problems should not go to the media.

What I have said is that when you use the press to spread your anti refractive surgery/surgeon/industry message, don't be surprised or indignant when the industry rejects your ploys to say you are now not anti-LASIK.

Ron, there are consequences to your actions. You don't want to be responsible for your actions and accept the consequences. You want it both ways. You want it all ways. Don't be too surprised if there aren't many people who don't agree with you.

>The fact that SE has credibility by virtue of the  
>fact that it works with doctors and patients seems to drive you to  
>absolute distraction.

Ron, you really think way too much of SurgicalEyes and its importance in my professional and personal life. I equate SurgicalEyes to a **ant** on the **ass** of a **cow** I pass by going 50 in my sports car. You are a fly speck in my routine, but you have taken up a lot of my time lately

with your antics.

>You have to make SE the enemy. It's not going to work.

The enemy of whom? Not me. Not CRSQA. You have earned your enemies all by yourself. All the credit is yours and yours alone.

SurgicalEyes is not my enemy. Do you think SurgicalEyes possesses or does that would make it my enemy? You are sadly deluded..

>Do you know how many doctors are horrified at your antics? Do you?

Horrified because I have pointed out your hypocrisy and misinformation? Not many, if any at all. Horrified because you have changed the characterization of what I have said? Well, I'm sure you can manipulate most anyone one...for a while. I'm not concerned about what I have said about SurgicalEyes. What you have said I have said is undoubtedly a whole different kettle of fish.

>You're unbelievable.

>Simply utterly unbelievable.

Ron, perhaps instead of trying to decide if I am or am not believable, you should try getting your own story straight so what you say and do is believable.

Glenn Hagele  
Executive Director  
Council for Refractive Surgery Quality Assurance  
<http://www.usaeyes.org>  
glenn.hag...@usaeyes.org

I am not a doctor.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)



You must [Sign in](#) before you can post messages.

To post a message you must first [join this group](#).

Please update your nickname on the [subscription settings](#) page before posting.

You do not have the permission required to post.